

buckles, seals, and similar metal fastening devices may not be used.

\* \* \* \* \*

### 3.0 STACKING PALLETS

[Revise the heading of 3.1 to read as follows:]

#### 3.1 Physical Characteristics

Pallets may be stacked two, three, or four tiers high if:

[Revise item d to read as follows:]

\* \* \* \* \*

d. The stack of pallets is secured with at least two straps or bands of appropriate material to maintain the integrity of the stacked pallets during transport and handling. Wire or metal bands, straps, buckles, seals, and similar metal fastening devices may not be used. The stack of pallets may not be secured together with stretchable or shrinkable plastic.

\* \* \* \* \*

### 4.0 PALLET BOXES

\* \* \* \* \*

#### 4.3 Securing

[Revise the introductory text in 4.3 to read as follows:]

Pallet boxes must be secured to the pallet with strapping, banding, stretchable, plastic, shrinkwrap, or other material (Wire or metal bands, straps, buckles, seals, and similar metal fastening devices may not be used.) that ensures that the pallet can be safely unloaded from vehicles, transported, and processed as a single unit to the point where the contents are distributed with the load intact if:

\* \* \* \* \*

An appropriate amendment to 39 CFR 111 to reflect the changes will be published if the proposal is adopted.

**Stanley F. Mires,**

*Chief Counsel, Legislative.*

[FR Doc. 02-18732 Filed 7-23-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-12-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[Docket OR-01-006b; FRL-7241-1]

#### Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans and Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes: Oregon; Medford Carbon Monoxide Nonattainment Area

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposes to approve revisions to Oregon's State Implementation Plan (SIP) which were submitted on May 31, 2001. These revisions consist of: the 1993 carbon monoxide (CO) base/attainment year emissions inventory for Medford, Oregon and the revised Medford CO maintenance plan. EPA also proposes to approve Oregon's request for redesignation of Medford from nonattainment to attainment for CO.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by August 23, 2002.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments should be addressed to Connie Robinson, EPA, Region 10, Office of Air Quality (OAQ-107), at the address listed below.

Copies of the State's request and other information supporting this action are available for inspection during normal business hours at the following locations: EPA, Region 10, Office of Air Quality (OAQ-107), 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101, and State of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, 811 SW Sixth Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97204-1390.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Connie Robinson, EPA, Region 10, Office of Air Quality (OAQ-107), Seattle, Washington, (206) 553-1086.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated.

If the EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any

parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if we receive adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, we may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

For additional information, see the Direct Final rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: June 25, 2002.

**Ronald A. Kreizenbeck,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 10.*

[FR Doc. 02-18585 Filed 7-23-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Parts 70 and 71

[CA080-OPPS; FRL-7250-6]

#### Proposed Partial Withdrawal of Approval of 34 Clean Air Act Title V Operating Permits Programs and Implementation of a Partial Part 71 Federal Operating Permits Program in California

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to our authority at 40 CFR 70.10(b)(2)(i), EPA is proposing to withdraw, in part, approval of the following 34 Clean Air Act title V Operating Permits Programs in the State of California: Amador County Air Pollution Control District (APCD), Bay Area Air Quality Management District (AQMD), Butte County AQMD, Calaveras County APCD, Colusa County APCD, El Dorado County APCD, Feather River AQMD, Glenn County APCD, Great Basin Unified APCD, Imperial County APCD, Kern County APCD, Lake County AQMD, Lassen County APCD, Mariposa County APCD, Mendocino County APCD, Modoc County APCD, Mojave Desert AQMD, Monterey Bay Unified APCD, North Coast Unified AQMD, Northern Sierra AQMD, Northern Sonoma County APCD, Placer County APCD, Sacramento Metro AQMD, San Diego County APCD, San Joaquin Valley Unified APCD, San Luis Obispo County APCD, Santa Barbara County APCD, Shasta County APCD, Siskiyou County APCD, South Coast AQMD, Tehama County APCD, Tuolumne County APCD, Ventura County APCD, and Yolo-Solano AQMD. Our proposed partial title V program